

---

## REFERENCES

- 1.N.M. Elabd, Y.M. Mansour, L.M. Khodier Developments in the Built Environment. Volume 8, September 2021, 100058. Utilizing innovative technologies to achieve resilience in heritage buildings preservation. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S266616592100017X>
- 2.B. Okten, M. Okten. The Need for Innovation in Historical Building Restoration Projects. June 2018. URL: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326993420\\_The\\_Need\\_for\\_Innovation\\_in\\_Historica\\_l\\_Building\\_Restoration\\_Projects](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326993420_The_Need_for_Innovation_in_Historica_l_Building_Restoration_Projects)
- 3.M. Anwar. World Journal of Engineering and Technology. Practical Techniques for Restoration of Architectural Formation Elements in Historical Buildings. January 2019. URL: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331093869\\_Practical\\_Techniques\\_for\\_Restoration\\_of\\_Architec\\_tural\\_Formation\\_Elements\\_in\\_Historical\\_Buildings](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331093869_Practical_Techniques_for_Restoration_of_Architec_tural_Formation_Elements_in_Historical_Buildings)
- 4.Marinos Ioannides, Eleanor Fink, Antonia Moropoulou, Monika Hagedorn-Saupe, Antonella Fresa, Gunnar Liestøl, Vlatka Rajcic, Pierre Grussenmeyer. Digital Heritage. Progress in Cultural Heritage: Documentation, Preservation, and Protection. Application of Digital Technologies in the Restoration of Historic Buildings and Heritage Objects. 2016. URL: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-48974-2\\_16](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-48974-2_16)
- 5.Le Yan, Dong Kai, Li guang fan. Applied Mechanics and Materials - Details. The Application of BIM in the Restoration of Historical Buildings. 2014. URL: <https://www.scientific.net/AMM.638-640.1627>

**R. Glibchenko, V. Ogloblin (PSACEA, Dnipro)**

*Scientific supervisor:* V. Mushket, Assistant

*Language consultant:* N. Shashkina, Cand. Sc. (Philol), Assoc. Prof.

## SAFETY AND POTENTIAL HAZARDS IN HYDROTECHNICAL STRUCTURES

Hydrotechnical structures play a crucial role in managing water resources, providing flood protection, and supporting various industrial and agricultural activities. However, ensuring the safety of these structures is paramount to prevent potential hazards and safeguard both human lives and the environment.

Safety in hydrotechnical structures is a multifaceted concern that involves the careful consideration of design, construction, maintenance, and emergency response. The consequences of failure in these structures can be catastrophic, leading to loss of life, property damage, and environmental degradation.

Understanding and recognizing potential risks associated with hydrotechnical facilities is a critical step in ensuring their safety. These risks may include structural failures, overtopping, erosion, seismic events, and environmental impacts. Thorough risk assessments are essential to identify vulnerabilities and implement effective mitigation measures.

Adherence to international safety standards and regulations is a cornerstone of ensuring the safety of hydrotechnical structures. Compliance with established guidelines helps engineers and stakeholders navigate the complexities of designing and managing these facilities while minimizing risks [1].

Regular inspection and maintenance are vital for ensuring the structural integrity of hydrotechnical structures. Neglecting routine checks and necessary repairs can lead to gradual deterioration, compromising the overall safety of the facility. Case studies illustrating the consequences of inadequate maintenance underscore the importance of proactive care.

Developing comprehensive emergency preparedness plans is essential to respond effectively to unforeseen events. Training programs for personnel, simulation exercises, and the establishment of communication protocols contribute to a swift and coordinated response in times of crisis.

Hydrotechnical projects often have environmental implications. It is crucial to mitigate negative impacts on ecosystems and biodiversity. Incorporating eco-friendly practices, such as habitat restoration and sustainable water management, ensures a harmonious coexistence between hydrotechnical structures and the environment [2].

The integration of emerging technologies can significantly enhance safety in hydrotechnical structures. Monitoring systems, advanced materials, and data analytics contribute to real-time risk assessment and early detection of potential issues, allowing for timely intervention.

Safety in hydrotechnical structures requires collaboration among various stakeholders, including government agencies, engineers, and local communities. Public engagement initiatives foster a culture of safety awareness and enable collective efforts to address potential risks.

Analyzing historical incidents related to hydrotechnical structures provides valuable insights into improving safety practices. By learning from past failures, the industry can implement measures to prevent similar incidents and continuously enhance safety protocols.

Ensuring the safety of hydrotechnical structures is a shared responsibility that requires a holistic approach. By prioritizing compliance with regulations, embracing technological innovations, and learning from past incidents, the industry can create a safer environment for both the infrastructure and the communities it serves. Continuous vigilance, collaboration, and innovation are key to mitigating potential hazards and ensuring the long-term sustainability of hydrotechnical projects [1,2,3].

## REFERENCES

1.Sargand SM, Masada T, White K, Altarawneh B. Profile-wall high-density polyethylene pipes 1050 mm in diameter under deep soil cover: comparisons of field performance data and analytical predictions. In: Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board No. 1814. TRB. National Research Council; 2002. p. 186 — 196.

2.Navid H. Jafari, H. Omar Ulloa. Literature Search on Use of Flexible Pipes in Highway Engineering for DOTD's Needs. FHWA/LA.17/638. Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering Louisiana State University. 2020 p. 63.

3.Suleiman, Muhannad Taher, The structural performance of flexible pipes (2002). Retrospective Theses and Dissertations. 1033. P.143. URL: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/1033>.

**A. Gordiienko (PSACEA, Dnipro)**

*Scientific supervisor:* I. Davydov, Cand. Sc. (Tech), Assoc.Prof.

*Language consultant:* N. Shashkina, Cand. Sc. (Phil), Assoc.Prof.

## LATTICE MASTS AND TOWERS - DESIGN FEATURES

With the development of cellular communications, there is a need for reliable high-rise equipment supports. The classic variant of supports are lattice towers and masts. Towers are high-rise structures rigidly fixed in the base. The main difference between a mast and a tower is the presence of ties that provide stability. [1]

A tower and a mast consist of sections having a lattice structure. The main elements of masts and towers are belts, struts, struts and diaphragms. This system is adopted similarly to trusses. A girdle is a longitudinal element of the structure that takes the main part of the load. The strut is the horizontal element of the lattice and the strut is the inclined element. The frame is made of pipes or angles. Tubes have higher aerodynamic characteristics than profiles, but are more complex and expensive to manufacture.

Towers can be classified in three ways: